

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 10/697,893 | 10/29/2003 | John Holland | 004590 D01 | 9189 |
| 75 | 590 07/16/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| PATENT COUNSEL | | | HASSANZADEH, PARVIZ | |
| APPLIED MAT | TERIALS, INC. | | | |
| Legal Departme | ent, M/S 2061 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| P.O. Box 450-A | | 1763 | | |
| Santa Clara, Ca | A 95035 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}}$ | | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | () | | | | |
| | 10/697,893 | HOLLAND ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Parviz Hassanzadeh | 1763 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | eation. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ma | av 2004 | | | | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | , | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-23,37-39 and 54-59 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21,37-39 and 54-59 is 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20,22 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | s/are withdrawn from consideration | on. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the displacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner | a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pall All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/29/03. | 4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | (PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/697,893

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Species of Fig. 5 in the reply filed on 5/24/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 21, 37-39 and 54-59 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 5/24/04.

It is noticed that the claim 37 does not read on elected species of Fig. 5.

It is further noticed that claims 21 requiring the inner solenoidal antenna being rectangular and by outer coil antenna having a lateral extend greater than the inner antenna has no support in the specification or drawing. Similarly, claims 37-39 and 54-59 (particularly claim 57) are not supported by the specification including drawings as originally filed.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1763

Claims 1-20, 22 and 23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,409,933 B1 and claims 1-40 of US Patent No. 6,462,481 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because in all cases a plasma antenna including an inner and outer interleaved coil antenna.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Parviz Hassanzadeh whose telephone number is (571)272-1435. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on (571)272-1439. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

P. Hassanzadeh Parviz Hassanzadeh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1763